



PHYTOCHEMICAL ANALYSIS AND ANTIBACTERIAL ACTIVITY OF *Mangifera indica* L AND *Piper betle*

DIVYALASHMI. L AND ARUNA SHARMILI. S*

Department of Biotechnology, Stella Maris College (Autonomous), Chennai, India.

ABSTRACT

The leaves of *Mangifera indica* and *Piper betle* are known for their antioxidant and antimicrobial properties. In the present study, the phytochemical constituents of the leaves of *Mangifera indica* and *Piper betle* were analyzed and their antibacterial properties were tested against bacteria isolated from dental caries. *Staphylococcus aureus* (ASDV5,6,7,12), *Staphylococcus epidermidis* (ASDV 4, 10), *Streptococcus mutans* (ASDV 2, 3, 9) were isolated on Mannitol salt agar and blood agar from caries tooth scum samples. All the isolates of *S. aureus*, *S. epidermidis* and *Streptococcus mutans* were sensitive to Ciprofloxacin, Azithromycin, Tetracycline and resistant to Clotrimazole, Methicillin. Leaf extracts of *Mangifera indica* and *Piper betle* were prepared using various solvents and tested against the bacterial isolates. The phytochemical analysis revealed the presence of tannins, flavonoids, alkaloids, terpenoids, glycosides, carbohydrates, saponins and resins. The antibacterial activity of the leaf extract of *M. indica* showed a zone of inhibition ranging from 10 to 20 mm at a concentration of 50, 100 µg whereas the antibacterial activity of the leaf extract of *P. betle* showed a zone of inhibition ranging from 10 to 25mm at the same concentration against *S. aureus*, *S. epidermidis* and *Streptococcus mutans*. The findings of the study indicate a propensity of these plants for drug development.

KEYWORDS: *Mangifera indica*, *Piper betle*, Dental caries, Antibacterial activity, Drug Development



ARUNA SHARMILI. S

Department of Biotechnology, Stella Maris College (Autonomous), Chennai, India.

Received on: 18-12-2016

Revised and Accepted on 23-02-2017

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.22376/ijpbs.2017.8.2.p84-91>

INTRODUCTION

Natural sources for developing drugs includes plants and their parts and these could be used in treatment of infectious diseases caused by pathogenic microbes.¹ Besides being a source of food, higher plants were utilised for their medicinal properties.^{2,3} Medicinal properties of plants are due to the presence of bioactive compounds such as flavonoids, alkaloids, phenols, tannins, terpenoids, glycosides and essential oils.⁴ Due to the development of antibiotic resistance and re-emerging infectious diseases, there is a need to discover new antimicrobial compounds which are affordable and accessible.⁵ Plant derived drugs are sustainable source that may be employed in combating infections.⁶ *Mangifera indica* L., (family Anacardiaceae) commonly known as mango has been an important tree in both Ayurveda and indigenous system. Mangiferin is the bioactive compound that has strong antioxidant, antidiabetic, wound healing activities.⁷ Extracts from *M. indica* leaf and stem bark have been found to possess antimalarial,⁸ antifungal,⁹ antimicrobial, anti-inflammatory, antiviral^{10,11} and anticancer activity.¹² The leaves are also used in treating skin disease, dental caries, bronchitis, diarrhea, pyogenic infections and internal hemorrhages.¹³ Mango seeds are used in treatment of disorders of female reproductive organs namely vaginal leucorrhoea, vaginitis while mango bark juice is used to control menorrhagia.¹⁴ *Piper betle* L., (family Piperaceae) commonly known as the betle vine is an important medicinal plant in Southeast Asian countries. Betle leaf is traditionally known to be useful as a post meal mouth freshener, for the treatment of diseases such as bad breath, boils and abscesses, conjunctivitis, constipation, headache, hysteria, itches, mastitis, mastoiditis, leucorrhoea, ringworm, swelling of gum, rheumatism and abrasion.^{15,16} The leaves are warmed and applied to the chest to relieve cough and asthma.^{17,18} They have antimicrobial activity against *Streptococcus viridans*, *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Streptococcus mutans*.¹⁹ The present work was aimed to screen the phytochemical constituents of the organic solvent extracts of the leaves of *Mangifera indica* and *Piper betle* for their antibacterial efficacy against bacteria isolated from dental caries.

MATERIALS AND METHOD

Sample collection, isolation and identification of bacteria

The caries tooth scum samples were randomly collected aseptically in screw cap bottles with saline using sterile swabs from a dental clinic in Chennai, Tamil Nadu. The collected samples were brought to the laboratory on ice. Serial dilutions were made using sterile saline solution and plated on selective Mannitol salt agar, blood agar and incubated at 37° C for 24 hours. The plates were observed for growth of the bacterial colonies. Bacterial isolates were characterized by Grams staining, biochemical tests and identified by Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology.²⁰

Antibiogram of the bacterial isolates²¹

In the present study, the bacterial isolates were tested for their susceptibility to several commonly used

antibiotics (Hi-media, Mumbai) by disc diffusion method.²¹ The antibiotic discs tested include Ciprofloxacin, Azithromycin, Tetracycline, Norfloxacin, Co-trimoxazole, Erythromycin, Ampicillin, Nalidixic acid, Clotrimazole, Penicillin-G and Methicillin. The 18 hrs cultures of the bacterial isolates were adjusted to McFarland standard and spread on Muller Hinton Agar. Antibiotic discs were placed on the agar surface. Zones of inhibition (in mm) against each bacterial isolate were measured after 24 hrs of incubation at 37°C. According to NCCLS (National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standard) an organism was interpreted as highly susceptible if the diameter of inhibition zone was more than 19 mm, intermediate if diameter was 15-18 mm and resistant if diameter was less than 13 mm.

Collection of the plant samples

Fresh leaves of *Mangifera indica* were collected from the College campus and fresh leaves of *Piper betle* (Vellaikodi variety) were collected from Tirunelveli, Tamilnadu. The plant samples were authenticated by Dr. N.K. Udayaprakash, Assistant Professor, Department of Biotechnology, Vels University, Chennai and deposited in the herbarium (MLHA 1032 and MLHA 1033) at Marina Labs.

Preparation of the Plant Extract

The freshly collected leaves were thoroughly washed thrice in distilled water, shade dried, powdered using a mechanical blender and subjected to extraction using solvents such as methanol, ethanol, ethyl acetate and chloroform separately using Soxhlet apparatus.

Test for Carbohydrates²²

Molisch Test: To 2 ml extract few drops of α -naphtha (20% in ethyl alcohol) were added. Then 1 ml of conc. H_2SO_4 was added along the side of the test tube. Reddish violet ring at the junction of the two layers indicates the presence of carbohydrates.

Reduction of Fehling's Solution

10 ml of Fehling solution (copper sulphate in alkaline condition) was added to the concentrated extracts and heated on a steam bath. Brick-red precipitate indicates the presence of carbohydrate.

Test for Proteins²³

Biuret Test: To 3 ml of extract was added 4% NaOH and few drops of 1% $CuSO_4$ solution. Violet or pink colour indicates the presence of proteins.

Ninhydrin Test

To 1 ml of extract 1% Ninhydrin reagent was added and heated on a steam bath. Violet colour indicates the presence of proteins.

Phytochemical Analysis of Leaf Extract

The leaf extracts obtained from methanol, ethanol, ethylacetate and chloroform were subjected to preliminary qualitative tests for the presence of carbohydrates, proteins, steroids, flavonoids, tannins, alkaloids.

Test for Glycosides²³

Keller- Killani Test: 1 ml of glacial acetic acid containing traces of $FeCl_3$ and 1 ml of concentrated H_2SO_4 were

added to the extracts carefully. A reddish-brown colour is formed at the junction of two layers and the upper layer turns bluish green indicates the presence of glycosides.

Test for Tannins²⁴

To 1 ml of extract, 2 ml of 5% FeCl₃ was added. A dark blue or green black colour indicates the presence of tannins.

Test for Alkaloids²⁴

To 2 ml extract 2 ml Conc. HCl and few drops of Mayer's reagent was added. A green or white precipitate indicates the presence of alkaloids.

Test for Flavonoids²³

To 2 ml extract 1 ml 2N NaOH was added. Appearance of yellow colour indicates the presence of flavonoids.

Test for Terpenoids^{25,26}

To 2ml of each extract 5ml of chloroform and few drops of concentrated H₂SO₄ were carefully added to form a layer. A reddish brown coloration formed in the interface indicates the presence of terpenoids.

Test for Saponins^{25,26}

Foam test - The crude extract is mixed with 5ml of distilled water and shaken vigorously. The formation of stable foam indicates the presence of saponins. **Froth test** - 2 g of the powdered sample is boiled with 10 ml of distilled water and then filtered and mixed with 5 ml of distilled water and added with few drops of olive oil and mixed vigorously, then observed for the formation of emulsion.

Test for Resins^{25,26}

1 ml of the extracts was treated with few drops of acetic anhydride followed by concentrated H₂SO₄. Colour ranging from orange to yellow was noticed.

Antibacterial activity²⁷

In vitro antibacterial activities of the extracts were studied against isolated dental caries bacteria by the agar well diffusion method.²⁷ The extracts were dissolved in 5% (v/v) DMSO. 5% (v/v) DMSO was taken as the control. Muller Hinton agar was used as the bacteriological medium. Suspension of microorganisms were made in Nutrient broth and adjusted to 0.5 McFarland standards (1X10⁸cfu/ml). Each plate was uniformly spread with 100 µl of test organism. A sterile cork borer of 5 mm diameter was used to make wells on the medium. 50µl of the extract corresponding to 50 µg and 100 µg were added into each well.^{28,29} The plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. Antibacterial activity was determined by measuring the diameter of zones of inhibition (mm) after incubation.

RESULTS

The bacterial isolates were designated by ASDV (Aruna Sharmili Divyalashmi) followed by the isolate number. Bacteria isolated on Mannitol salt agar that turned the media yellow, indicated Mannitol fermentation were *Staphylococcus aureus* (ASDV5,6,7,12) and those isolates that did not change colour were *Staphylococcus*

epidermidis (ASDV4,10) as Mannitol was not fermented. Bacterial isolates on blood agar that showed alpha haemolysis (ASDV 2, 3, 9) were *Streptococcus mutans*. Further biochemical characterization of the bacterial isolates obtained from Mannitol salt agar, Blood agar was also done to ascertain their identities. All the isolates of *Staphylococcus aureus* (ASDV 5, 6, 7, 12) were sensitive to Ciprofloxacin, Azithromycin, Tetracycline, Norfloxacin but resistant to Clotrimazole and Methicillin. ASDV 5, 7, 12 were sensitive to Co-trimoxazole. ASDV 7 was sensitive to Erythromycin and Nalidixic acid. ASDV 5 was sensitive to Ampicillin, Nalidixic acid and Penicillin-G. The two isolates of *Staphylococcus epidermidis* (ASDV 4, 10) were sensitive to Ciprofloxacin, Azithromycin, Tetracycline, Norfloxacin and resistant to Co-trimoxazole, Ampicillin, Penicillin-G and Methicillin. ASDV 4 was sensitive to Nalidixic acid and resistant to Erythromycin. ASDV 10 was sensitive to Erythromycin and resistant to Nalidixic acid. *Streptococcus mutans* (ASDV 2, 3, 9) was sensitive to Ciprofloxacin, Azithromycin, Tetracycline, Norfloxacin, Co-trimoxazole and resistant to Erythromycin, Ampicillin, Clotrimazole, Penicillin-G, Methicillin. ASDV 2 was sensitive to Nalidixic acid (Table 1) but the other two isolates (ASDV 3, 9) were resistant. In the present study, the methanolic and ethanolic extract of *Mangifera indica* revealed the presence of tannins, flavonoids, alkaloids, terpenoids and glycosides whereas saponins and resins were absent. The chloroform extract of *M. indica* showed the presence of tannins, flavonoids, terpenoids, glycosides, saponins and resins but alkaloids were absent. The ethylacetate extract of *M. indica* revealed the presence of flavonoids, terpenoids and glycosides but saponins, resins, alkaloids and tannins were absent (Table 2). Carbohydrates and proteins were absent in all the solvent extracts of *M. indica* In the current investigation, the ethanolic and methanolic extract of *Piper betle* showed the presence of tannins, flavonoids, alkaloids, terpenoids and glycosides but saponins and resins were absent. The chloroform extract of *P. betle* revealed the presence of flavonoids, terpenoids, glycosides, saponins, and resins whereas alkaloids and tannins were absent. The ethylacetate extract of *P. betle* showed the presence of flavonoids, terpenoids and glycosides but saponins, resins, alkaloids and tannins were absent (Table 2). Carbohydrates and proteins were absent in all the solvent extracts of *P. betle*. The methanol extract of *M. indica* leaves inhibited the growth of *S. aureus* (ASDV5, 6, 7, 12) at 50µg (13mm, 12mm, 16mm, 15mm) (Fig 1) and the growth of *Staphylococcus epidermidis* (ASDV4) at 100µg (10mm). The ethanolic extract of *M. indica* leaves inhibited *S.epidermidis* (DV4), *S.aureus* (ASDV5, 6, 7, 12) at 50µg (11mm, 15mm, 14mm, 12mm, 11mm) and the growth of *Streptococcus mutans* (ASDV3) at 100µg (12mm). The chloroform extract inhibited *S.aureus* (ASDV5) at 100µg (14mm). The ethylacetate extract of *M. indica* inhibited *Streptococcus mutans* (ASDV2, 9) (Fig 1), *S.epidermidis* (ASDV4,10), *S.aureus* (ASDV5, 6, 12) at 50µg (14mm,10mm, 12mm, 10mm, 16mm, 16mm, 16mm)whereas *Streptococcus mutans* (ASDV3) and *S.aureus* (ASDV7) showed no zone of inhibition (Table 3). The chloroform extracts no activity on any of the bacterial isolates. The methanol extract of *P. betle*

leaves had no activity on any of the bacterial isolates. The ethanolic extract inhibited the growth of *Streptococcus mutans* (ASDV2), *Staphylococcus aureus* (ASDV5) at 50µg and that of *Staphylococcus epidermidis* (ASDV4) at 100µg (11mm). The growth of *S.aureus* (ASDV6, 7) (Fig 2) and *S. epidermidis* (ASDV10) were inhibited at 100µg (16mm, 12mm

respectively). The chloroform extract inhibited *S.aureus* (ASDV6) at 100µg (12mm). The ethylacetate extract of *P. betle* leaves inhibited the growth of *Streptococcus mutans* (ASDV2, 3,9), *S.epidermidis* (ASDV4), and *S.aureus* (ASDV12) (Fig 2) at 50µg. The growth of *S.aureus* (ASDV5, 6) and *S.epidermidis* (ASDV10) was inhibited at 100µg (16mm, 25mm, 20mm) (Table 3).

Table 1
Antibiotic susceptibility pattern of the bacterial isolates

S.NO	Antibiotic Disc	zone of inhibition diameter (mm)								
		ASDV 5	ASDV 6	ASDV 7	ASDV 12	ASDV 4	ASDV10	ASDV 2	ASDV 3	ASDV 9
		<i>S.aureus</i>			<i>S. epidermidis</i>			<i>S.mutans</i>		
1	CIPROFLOXACIN (5 mcg/disc)	S (33)	S (32)	S (34)	S (35)	S (34)	S (26)	S (33)	S (30)	S (35)
2	AZITHROMYCIN (15 mcg/disc)	S (29)	S (24)	S (28)	S (23)	S (30)	S (22)	S (25)	S (23)	S (25)
3	TETRACYCLINE (30 mcg/disc)	S (30)	S (20)	S (33)	S (27)	S (27)	S (25)	S (21)	S (24)	S (25)
4	NORFLOXACIN (10 mcg/disc)	S (21)	S (27)	S (28)	S (28)	S (28)	S (22)	S (25)	S (21)	S (27)
5	CO-TRIMOXAZOLE (25 mcg/disc)	S (28)	R (9)	S (21)	S (21)	R (3)	R (9)	S (22)	S (23)	S (25)
6	ERYTHROMYCIN (15 mcg/disc)	S (27)	R (11)	S (24)	R (13)	R (9)	S (22)	R (10)	R (13)	R (12)
7	AMPICILLIN (10 mcg/disc)	S (40)	R (15)	R (14)	R (12)	R (8)	R (10)	R (9)	R (9)	R (9)
8	NALIDIXIC ACID (30 mcg/disc)	S (21)	R (7)	S (23)	R (8)	S (22)	R (7)	S (20)	R (9)	R (9)
9	CLOTRIMAZOLE (10 mcg/disc)	R (13)	R (10)	R (9)	R (9)	R (2)	R (8)	R (8)	R (8)	R (8)
10	PENICILLIN-G (10 mcg/disc)	S (36)	R (11)	R (10)	R (10)	R (10)	R (10)	R (10)	R (10)	R (10)
11	METHICILLIN (5 mcg/disc)	R (8)	R (8)	R (8)	R (8)	R (8)	R (8)	R (8)	R (8)	R (8)

Note: R=Resistant; S=Sensitive ASDV = Aruna Sharmili, Divyalashmi.

Table 2
Phytochemical constituents of *Mangifera indica* and *Piper betle*

Phytochemical Tests	<i>Mangifera indica</i>				<i>Piper betle</i>			
	M	E	C	EA	M	E	C	EA
Tannin	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	-
Flavanoid	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Alkaloid	+	+	-	-	+	+	-	-
Terpenoid	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Glycoside	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Saponine	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-
Resin	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-
Carbohydrate	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Protein	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Note: M= Methanol; E= Ethanol; C= Chloroform; EA= Ethylacetate; ASDV = Aruna Sharmili, Divyalashmi.

Table 3
Antibacterial activity of *Mangifera indica* and *Piper betle*

Bacterial Isolates	<i>Mangifera indica</i>				<i>Piper betle</i>											
	M		E		C		EA		M		E		C		EA	
	50 µg	100µg	50 µg	100 µg	50 µg	100 µg	50 µg	100 µg	50 µg	100µg	50 µg	100 µg	50 µg	100 µg	50 µg	100 µg
<i>S. aureus</i> (ASDV5)	13	14	15	17	-	14	16	18	-	-	11	15	-	-	-	16
<i>S. aureus</i> (ASDV6)	12	14	14	18	-	-	16	20	-	-	-	16	-	12	-	25
<i>S. aureus</i> (ASDV7)	16	18	12	14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	16	-	-	-	-
<i>S. aureus</i> (ASDV12)	15	18	11	15	-	-	16	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	10	13
<i>S.epidermidis</i> (ASDV4)	-	10	11	14	-	-	12	14	-	-	-	11	-	-	14	21
<i>S.epidermidis</i> (ASDV10)	-	-	-	-	-	-	10	14	-	-	-	12	-	-	-	20

<i>S. mutans</i> (ASDV2)	-	-	-	-	-	-	14	15	-	-	15	20	-	-	18	25
<i>S. mutans</i> (ASDV3)	-	-	-	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	16	18
<i>S. mutans</i> (ASDV9)	-	-	-	-	-	-	10	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	10	12

Note: M= Methanol; E= Ethanol; C= Chloroform ;EA= Ethylacetate; ASDV = Aruna Sharmili, Divyalashmi




Figure 1
Antibacterial activity of leaf extract of *Mangifera indica*
against *S. aureus* (A) and *S. mutans* (B)



Figure 2
Antibacterial activity of leaf extract of *Piper betle*
against *S. aureus* (C) and *S. mutans* (D)

DISCUSSION

In the present study isolates of *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Staphylococcus epidermidis* and *Streptococcus mutans* were isolated from the dental caries samples. Similarly Daniyan and Abalaka,³⁰ have isolated *Streptococcus mutans*, *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Lactobacillus spp* from 40 patients with dental caries at the Minna General Hospital. Mohapatra *et al.*,³¹ have also reported the presence of *Staphylococci* (10 isolates), *Streptococci* (30 isolates), *Lactobacillus* (4 isolates) in the study of microbial association of dental caries. In the present study all the isolates of *Staphylococcus aureus* were sensitive to Ciprofloxacin, Azithromycin, Tetracycline, Norfloxacin and resistant to Clotrimazole, Methicillin.

Kitara *et al.*,³² have reported that Ampicillin showed the highest overall resistance followed by Cotrimoxazole, Tetracycline, Chloramphenicol and Erythromycin, Ciprofloxacin and Methicillin against *Staphylococcus aureus*. In the current investigation, all the isolates of *Staphylococcus epidermidis* were sensitive to Ciprofloxacin, Azithromycin, Tetracycline and resistant to Co-trimoxazole, Ampicillin, Penicillin-G and Methicillin similar to the reports of Haque *et al.*,³³ who have reported multidrug resistance of *S. epidermidis* to Penicillin, Oxacillin, Gentamycin and Erythromycin. *Streptococcus mutans* (ASDV 2, 3, 9) was sensitive to Ciprofloxacin, Azithromycin, Tetracycline, Norfloxacin, Co-trimoxazole and resistant to Erythromycin, Ampicillin, Clotrimazole, Penicillin-G,

Methicillin. Salman and Senthil,³⁴ have reported sensitivity of *S. mutans* to Ampicillin, Penicillin, Chloramphenicol, Cephalothin, Cefazolin, Cefotaxime, Erythromycin, and resistance to Methicillin. In the present study, the methanolic extract of *M. indica* revealed the presence of tannins, flavonoids, alkaloids, terpenoids, glycosides and carbohydrates similar to the findings of Joona *et al.*,³⁵, Teodora *et al.*,³⁶, Kaur *et al.*,³⁷ who have reported the presence of tannins, flavonoids, glycosides, saponins. In the current investigation, saponins, resins and proteins were absent similar to the reports of Choudhury *et al.*,³⁸ and Minal *et al.*,³⁹. The ethanolic extract of *M. indica* in the current study revealed the presence of tannins, flavanoids, alkaloids, terpenoids, glycosides and carbohydrates in concordance with the results of Luka and Mohammed,⁴⁰; Jose *et al.*,⁴¹ and Pratul and Ranjit,¹⁴. In the present study, the chloroform extract of *M. indica* showed the presence of tannins, flavonoids, terpenoids, glycosides, saponins, resins and carbohydrates but proteins and alkaloids were absent whereas Hossain *et al.*,⁴² has reported the presence of tannins, flavonoids, alkaloids and saponins and absence of terpenoids. The ethylacetate extract of *M. indica* revealed the presence of flavonoids, terpenoids, glycosides and carbohydrates but saponins, resins, proteins, alkaloids and tannins were absent. In the present study, the hexane extract of *M. indica* revealed the presence of flavonoids, terpenoids, glycosides, and carbohydrates similar results have been reported by Aiyelaagbe and Paul,⁴³ for the presence of flavonoids and glycosides. In the current investigation, the methanolic extract of *P. betle* showed the presence of tannins, flavonoids, alkaloids, terpenoids, glycosides and carbohydrates but saponins, resins and proteins were absent. Jayalakshmi *et al.*,⁴⁴ has reported the presence of tannins, flavonoids, glycosides, saponins, carbohydrates and proteins and absence of alkaloids, terpenoids. Prakash *et al.*,⁴⁵ have reported the presence of tannins, flavonoids and terpenoids in the methanol extracts of four cultivars of *P. betle*. In the present study, the ethanolic extract of *P. betle* showed the presence of tannins, flavonoids, alkaloids, terpenoids, glycosides and carbohydrates but saponins, resins, and proteins were absent. Similarly Arani *et al.*,¹⁵ and Kaveti *et al.*,⁴⁶ also reported the presence of tannins, flavonoids, carbohydrates and proteins. In the current study, the chloroform extract of *P. betle* revealed the presence of flavonoids, terpenoids, glycosides, carbohydrates, saponins, and resins whereas alkaloids, proteins, and tannins were absent. In contrast Jayalakshmi *et al.*,⁴⁴ has reported the presence of alkaloids, glycosides and proteins and absence of tannins, flavonoids, terpenoids, saponins and carbohydrates. In the current investigation, the ethylacetate leaf extract of *P. betle* showed the

presence of flavonoids, terpenoids, glycosides and carbohydrates. Similar finding have been reported by Jayalakshmi *et al.*,⁴⁴. In the present study alkaloids and terpenoids were absent in the ethylacetate leaf extract of *P. betle* which concurs with the findings of Devjani and Barkha,⁴⁷. In the present study the methanolic extract of *M. indica* showed no antibacterial activity in contrast to Jose and Beegum,⁴⁸ reports that methanol extract of *M. indica* showed a zone of inhibition of more than 10mm for *S. mutans*. In the current study the ethanolic extract of *M. indica* showed a zone of inhibition at 50µg/ml in concordance with the results of Ammara *et al.*,⁴⁹ and Kabuki *et al.*,⁵⁰. The ethylacetate extract of *M. indica* was effective against *S. mutans* and *S. aureus* with a zone of inhibition of 14mm and 16mm respectively. Similarly 12mm zone of inhibition was recorded by the ethylacetate extract of *M. indica* against *S. mutans* and *Staphylococcus aureus* by Lubna *et al.*,⁵¹. In the present study there was no antibacterial activity for methanolic leaf extract of *P. betle* against *S. aureus* but Devjani *et al.*,⁵² has reported a zone of inhibition at a concentration of 5mg/ml. Shitut *et al.*,⁵⁰ and Arani *et al.*,¹⁵ has reported that ethanolic betle leaf extract was effective against *S. aureus* with a zone of inhibition of 13mm similar to the present study but the zone of inhibition was much greater (16mm).

CONCLUSION

The results of the present study revealed the presence of several phytochemical compounds like flavonoids, alkaloids, terpenoids, glycosides, saponins, carbohydrates in *Mangifera indica* and *Piper betle* which are potential bioactive compounds. These phytochemical compounds may contribute as useful source of drug against bacteria as seen from the current antibacterial activity studies. Further isolation and purification of the compounds that are responsible for the activity will help in drug designing by providing lead molecules for various ailments.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Authors thank the Principal and the Management of Stella Maris College (Autonomous), Chennai, Tamilnadu, India for the research facilities provided and Dr. N.K. Udayaprakash, Assistant Professor, Department of Biotechnology, Vel's University, Chennai for sample authentication.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Conflict of interest declared none.

REFERENCES

- Gullo VP, Hughes DE. Exploiting new approaches for natural product drug discovery in the biotechnology industry. Drug discovery today: technologies. 2005 Nov 30;2(3):281-6.
- Mullally BH, James JA, Coulter WA, Linden GJ. The efficacy of a herbal-based toothpaste on the control of plaque and gingivitis. Journal of clinical periodontology. 1995 Sep 1;22(9):686-9.
- Tanner A, Stillman N. Oral and dental infections with anaerobic bacteria: clinical features, predominant pathogens, and treatment. Clinical infectious diseases. 1993 Jun 1;16(Supplement 4):5304-9.
- Karou D, Savadogo A, Canini A, Yameogo S, Montesano C, Simpore J, Colizzi V, Traore AS.

- Antibacterial activity of alkaloids from *Sida acuta*. African journal of biotechnology. 2005;4(12).
5. Parekh J, Chanda S. Antibacterial activities of aqueous and alcoholic extracts of 34 Indian medicinal plants against some Staphylococcus species. Turkish Journal of Biology. 2008 Feb 19;32(1):63-71.
 6. Kumar A, Garg BR, Rajput G, Chandel D, Muwalia A, Bala I, Singh S. Antibacterial activity and quantitative determination of protein from leaf of *Datura stramonium* and *Piper betle* plants. Pharmacophore. 2010;1(3):184-95.
 7. Shah KA, Patel MB, Patel RJ, Parmar PK. *Mangifera indica* (mango). Pharmacognosy reviews. 2010 Jan 1;4(7):42.
 8. Ayoola GA, Coker HA, Adesegun SA, Adepoju-Bello AA, Obaweya K, Ezennia EC, Atangbayila TO. Phytochemical screening and antioxidant activities of some selected medicinal plants used for malaria therapy in Southwestern Nigeria. Tropical Journal of Pharmaceutical Research. 2008;7(3):1019-24.
 9. Kanwal Q, Hussain I, Latif Siddiqui H, Javaid A. Antifungal activity of flavonoids isolated from mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) leaves. Natural Product Research. 2010 Dec 15;24(20):1907-14.
 10. Rivera DG, Balmaseda IH, León AA, Hernández BC, Montiel LM, Garrido GG, Hernández RD, Cuzzocrea S. Anti-allergic properties of *Mangifera indica* L. extract (Vimang) and contribution of its glucosylxanthone mangiferin. Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmacology. 2006 Mar 1;58(3):385-92.
 11. Núñez-Sellés AJ. Antioxidant therapy: myth or reality?. Journal of the Brazilian Chemical Society. 2005 Aug;16(4):699-710.
 12. Williamson EM. *Ocimum sanctum*. Major herbs of Ayurveda, London, Churchill Livingstone publication. 2002:201-5.
 13. Aderibigbe OA, Iwalewa OE, Adesina KS, Agboola IO. Anxiolytic effect of aridanin isolated from *Tetrapleura tetraptera* in mice. International Journal of Biological and Chemical Sciences. 2010;4(5).
 14. Sarmah PC, Hazarika R. Evaluation of hypoglycemic effect of mangifera leaf. International Journal of Applied Biology and Pharmaceutical Technology. 2012;3(3):98-102.
 15. Datta A, Ghoshdastidar S, Singh M. Antimicrobial property of *Piper betel* leaf against clinical isolates of bacteria. International Journal of Pharma Sciences and Research. 2011;2(3):104-9.
 16. Sarkar A, Sen R, Saha P, Ganguly S, Mandal G, Chatterjee M. An ethanolic extract of leaves of *Piper betle* (Paan) Linn mediates its antileishmanial activity via apoptosis. Parasitology research. 2008 May 1;102(6):1249-55.
 17. Fathilah AR, Sujata R, Norhanom AW, Adenan MI. Antiproliferative activity of aqueous extract of *Piper betle* L. and *Psidium guajava* L. on KB and HeLa cell lines. Journal of Medicinal Plants Research. 2010 Jun 4;4(11):987-90.
 18. Ghosh K, Bhattacharya T. Chemical constituents of *Piper betle* Linn.(Piperaceae) roots. Molecules. 2005 Aug 31;10(7):798-802.
 19. Farnsworth NR, Bunapraphatsara N. Thai medicinal plants recommended for primary health care system. Medicinal Plant Information Center; 1992.
 20. Krieg NR, Holt JG. Bergy's manual of determinative bacteriology. Baltimore, The Williams and Wilkins Co. 1984.
 21. Bauer AW, Kirby WM, Sherris JC, Turck M. Antibiotic susceptibility testing by a standardized single disk method. American Journal of Clinical Pathology. 1966 Apr;45(4):493.
 22. Brain KR, Turner TD. The practical evaluation of phytopharmaceuticals. Bristol: Wright-Scientific; 1975.
 23. Telrandhe UB, Hemalatha S, Modi A. Pharmacognostic and phytochemical investigation on root of *Cadaba farinosa* Forsk. International Journal of Pharma and Biological Sciences. 2010;1(2):1-13.
 24. Culki I. Methodology of Analysis of Vegetable drug. Chemical Industries branch, UNIDO, Romania. 1994:24: 26 -27.
 25. Evans WC. Trease and Evans' pharmacognosy. Elsevier Health Sciences; 2009 May 27.
 26. Harborne AJ. Phytochemical methods a guide to modern techniques of plant analysis. Springer science & business media; 1998 Apr 30.
 27. Perez C, Pauli M, Bazerque P. An antibiotic assay by the agar well diffusion method. Acta Biologie Et Medicine Experimental. 1990;15(1):113-5.
 28. Javid T, Adnan M, Tariq A, Akhtar B, Ullah R, AbdElsalam NM. Antimicrobial activity of three medicinal plants (*Artemisia indica*, *Medicago falcata* and *Tecoma stans*). African Journal of Traditional, Complementary and Alternative Medicines. 2015 Jan 1;12(3):91-6.
 29. Sandhya S, Sharmili SA, Anbumalarnathi J, Umamaheswari K. Phytochemical Analysis, GC-MS Composition, Antibacterial, Antioxidant Activity of *Nyctanthes arbortristis* and *Nerium oleander*. Journal of Chemical, Biological and Physical Sciences (JCBPS). 2016 Feb 1;6(2):415.
 30. Daniyan SY, Abalaka ME. Prevalence and Susceptibility Pattern of Bacterial Isolates of Dental Caries in a Secondary Health Care Institution, Nigeria. Shiraz E-Medical Journal. 2011 Jul;12(3):135-39.
 31. Mohapatra SB, Pattnaik M, Ray P. Microbial association of dental caries. Asian Journal of Experimental Biological Science. 2012;3(2):360-67.
 32. Kitara LD, Anywar AD, Acullu D, Odongo-Aginya E, Aloyo J, Fendu M. Antibiotic susceptibility of *Staphylococcus aureus* in suppurative lesions in Lacor Hospital, Uganda. African health sciences. 2011;11(3):34-9.
 33. Haque N, Hossain MA, Bilkis L, Musa AK, Mahamud C, Bari MS, Muhammad N, Parvin US, Islam MT, Khan SI, Islam MA. Antibiotic susceptibility pattern of *Staphylococcus epidermidis*. Mymensingh medical journal: MMJ. 2009 Jul;18(2):142-7.
 34. Salman HA, Senthikumar R. Identification and Antibiogram Profile of *Streptococcus mutans* and *Streptococcus sobrinus* from Dental Caries

- Subjects. Journal of Applied Pharmaceutical Science. 2015;5(6):54-57.
35. Joona K, Sowmia C, Dhanya KP, Divya MJ. Preliminary phytochemical investigation of *Mangifera indica* leaves and screening of antioxidant and anticancer activity. Research Journal of Pharmaceutical, Biological and Chemical Sciences. 2013;4(1):1112-8.
 36. Teodora D, Vilma LP, Melba A, Orlando L, Rosemary M, Sonny G. Phytochemical screening and antibacterial activity of selected medicinal plants of Bayabas, Sablan, Benguet province, Cordillera administrative region Luzon Philippines. Indian Journal of Traditional Knowledge. 2012;11(4):50-585.
 37. Kaur J, Rathinam X, Kasi M, Leng KM, Ayyalu R, Kathiresan S, Subramaniam S. Preliminary investigation on the antibacterial activity of mango (*Mangifera indica* L: Anacardiaceae) seed kernel. Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Medicine. 2010 Sep 1;3(9):707-10.
 38. Choudhury S, Latika S, Sinha MP. Screening of Some Commonly Used Medicinal Plants against Enteric Human Pathogen *Vibrio cholera*. European Journal of Medicinal Plants. 2015;9(3): 1-6.
 39. Minal W, Aparna S, Jyoti D, Siji M, Khetmalas MB. Qualitative phytochemical screening of three indigenous medicinal plants. Research Journal of Pharmaceutical, Biological Chemical Sciences. 2013;4(1):287-91.
 40. Luka CD, Mohammed A. Evaluation of the antidiabetic property of aqueous extract of *Mangifera indica* leaf on normal and alloxan-induced diabetic rats. Journal of Natural Products and Plant Resources. 2012;2(2):239-43.
 41. Jose M, Varghese I, Shantaram M. In vitro antioxidant activities and phytochemical analysis of five selected plant materials used for oral health and hygiene among people of Dakshina Kannada. International Journal of Applied Biology and Pharmaceutical Technology. 2011;2(4):95-103.
 42. Hossain MS, Ahmed M, Islam A. Hypolipidemic and hepatoprotective effects of different fractions of ethanolic extract of immature leaves of *Mangifera indica* (Linn.) in alloxan induced diabetic rats. International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research. 2010 Nov 1;1(11):132.
 43. Aiyelaagbe OO, Osamudiamen PM. Phytochemical screening for active compounds in *Mangifera indica* leaves from Ibadan, Oyo State. Plant Sciences Research. 2009;2(1):11-3.
 44. Jayalakshmi B, Raveesha KA, Amruthesh KN. Phytochemical investigations and antibacterial activity of some medicinal plants against pathogenic bacteria. Journal of Applied Pharmaceutical Science. 2011 Jul 1;1(5):124.
 45. Prakash UN, Smila KH, Priyanka JD, Srinithya B, Sripriya N. Studies on phytochemistry and bioefficacy of cultivars of *Piper Betle* Linn. International Journal of Research in Pharmaceutical Science. 2014;5(2):94-8.
 46. Kaveti B, Tan L, Sarnnia, Kuan TS, Baig M. Antibacterial Activity of *Piper betle* Leaves. International Journal of Pharmacy Teaching & Practices 2011; 2(3):129-132.
 47. Chakraborty D, Shah B. Antimicrobial, antioxidative and antihemolytic activity of *Piper betel* leaf extracts. International Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences. 2011;3(3):192-9.
 48. Jose S, Beegum GR. *In vitro* susceptibility of *viridans streptococci* to leaf extracts of *Mangifera Indica*. Indian Journal of Microbiology. 2007 Jun 1;47(2):160-3.
 49. Aassan A, Syed Q, Amjad I, Hassan A. Antimicrobial evaluation of some dental remedial plant extracts from Pakistan. Medicinal Plant Research. 2012 Sep 26;2(1).
 50. Kabuki T, Nakajima H, Arai M, Ueda S, Kuwabara Y, Dosako SI. Characterization of novel antimicrobial compounds from mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) kernel seeds. Food chemistry. 2000 Oct 31;71(1):61-6.
 51. Lubna T, Safia A, Salma R. Screening *Mangifera indica* leaf extracts against dental caries bacteria. Pakistan Journal of History and Philosophy of Science. 2012;18(1&2): 20-25.
 52. Shitut S, Pandit V, Mehta BK. The antimicrobial efficiency of *Piper betle* Linn leaf (stalk) against human pathogenic bacteria and phytopathogenic fungi. Central European journal of public health. 1999 Aug;7(3):137-9.

Reviewers of this article

Dr. S. Bhuvaneswari, M.Sc., M.Phil., Ph.D.,

Head, Department of Plant Biotechnology,
Loganatha Narayanasamy Government
College, 44, TH road, Ponneri, Thrivallur
Dist 601204
Tamilnadu, India



G. Bakhya Shree M.S. (Research)

Coordinator and Trainer, Department of
Biotechnology and Life Sciences, Dexter
Academy, Madurai, Tamilnadu



Prof. Dr. K. Suriaprabha

Asst. Editor, International Journal
of Pharma and Bio sciences.



Prof. P. Muthuprasanna

Managing Editor, International
Journal of Pharma and Bio sciences.

We sincerely thank the above reviewers for peer reviewing the manuscript